

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/506,517	09/02/2004	Ryszard Gajek	100959.0004US	2731
34284 Rutan & Tucke	7590 04/05/2007 er I.I.P		EXAM	INER
Hani Z. Sayed 611 ANTON BLVD SUITE 1400			PHASGE, ARUN S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
COSTA MESA	A, CA 92626		1753	
SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MONTHS		04/05/2007	PAPER	

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/506,517	GAJEK, RYSZARD			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
·					
The MAILING DATE of this communication	Arun S. Phasge	1753			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNION R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a control of the c	CATION. reply be timely filed VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	·				
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ 1	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er <i>Ex par</i> te <i>Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8 and 10-20</u> is/are pending in th 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5)□ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-8, 10-20</u> is/are rejected.  7)□ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)□ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a		by the Examiner.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor	rection is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received.	.,,,,,			
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docum</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p</li></ul>					
application from the International Bur		received in this National Stage			
* See the attached detailed Office action for a		received.			
Attachment(s)	,, <u> </u>	,			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) [_] Interview S Paper Nots	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date			
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Ir	nformal Patent Application			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)	<del>_</del> ·			

Art Unit: 1753

#### DETAILED ACTION

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-8, 10-11, 13-17, 19-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kollsman, U.S. Patent 2,815,320.

Kollsman discloses the claimed system comprising a cathode and anode having membrane and resins within said cell, wherein the membrane can comprise a charge mosaic membrane or as referred to in Kollsman amphoteric membrane (see col. 3, lines 62-67 and claims 1-39 and figures 1-11).

Therefore, since the Kollsman patent discloses each and every limitation, the claims are anticipated.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/506,517

Art Unit: 1753

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 12 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kollsman as applied to claims above, and further in view of Fu et al. (Fu), U.S. Patent 5,843,786.

The Kollsman patent does not disclose the treatment of the biological fluid and the ion comprises a carbohydrate in the chromatography column. The Fu patent is cited to show the analysis of the carbohydrates in a chromatography column as claimed (see abstract).

Consequently, the invention as a whole would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the

Art Unit: 1753

disclosure of the Kollsman patent with the teachings of the Fu patent, because the Fu patent teaches the use of chromatography to analyze carbohydrates.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Arun S. Phasge whose telephone number is (571) 272-1345. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY, 7:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nam X. Nguyen can be reached on (571) 272-1342. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 1753

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Arun S. Phasge Primary Examiner Art Unit 1753